

1 GARY B. ROTH, ESQ., State Bar No. 248031  
 2 BOXER & GERSON, LLP  
 3 300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 500  
 Oakland, California 94612  
 Telephone: (510) 835-8870  
 Facsimile: (510) 835-0415

4  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 5 DARRYL JACKSON

6  
 7  
 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 DARRYL JACKSON,	)	No. C-07-6412 MMC
11 Plaintiff,	)	<b>JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT</b>
12 vs.	)	<b>STATEMENT</b>
13 YANG MING (AMERICA)	)	Date: April 4, 2008
14 CORPORATION, a New York corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	)	Time: 10:30 a.m.
15 Defendants.	)	Place: Courtroom No. 7 Federal Building, 19th Floor

---

16  
 17 1. Jurisdiction and Service:

18 Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to the General Maritime Laws of the United States, 28 U.S.C. §§  
 19 1331 and 1332 and pursuant to the provision of 33 U.S.C.A. §905(b), and all statutes supplemental and  
 20 amendatory thereto. Service of process has been perfected upon the defendant. The defendant has  
 21 answered the Complaint.

22 2. Facts:

23 On or about December 15, 2006, plaintiff, Darryl Jackson, was employed as a Longshoreman  
 24 and member of International Longshoremen and Warehousemen Union, Local 10, with Pacific Maritime  
 25 Association and working on M/V Wealth which was moored at Berth 55 at the Marine Terminal  
 Company at the Port of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California. On that date, Darryl Jackson  
 26 was climbing down stairs on M/V WEALTH when he slipped on oil that was on the stairs, thereby  
 27 causing plaintiff to lose his balance and causing him to fall down the stairs. Plaintiff has alleged that

1 the defendant was responsible for the condition of the vessel and for the defects which caused or  
2 contributed to the plaintiff's injuries. Darryl Jackson sustained significant personal injuries, including  
3 injuries to his neck, back and legs.

4 The Defendant, Yang Ming (America) Corporation, denies that the plaintiff slipped on oil on the  
5 YANG MING WEALTH and denies that the plaintiff sustained personal injuries as a result of any act  
6 or omission attributable to the defendant.

7       3. Legal Issues:

- 8           A. The liability of Yang Ming (America) Corporation for the condition of M/V  
9                   Wealth.  
10          B. The liability of Yang Ming (America) Corporation for the injuries of plaintiff.  
11          C. The extent of the damages sustained by plaintiff.  
12          D. Any issues inherent or discovered in the pleadings and discovery responses of the  
13           parties.  
14          E. Whether plaintiff was responsible, in part, for his alleged accident.

15       4. Motions:

- 16           A. Plaintiff and defendant each anticipate filing pre-trial motions regarding the  
17                   admissibility of evidence submitted.  
18           B. Plaintiff and defendant may each file pre-trial motions regarding issues inherent  
19                   or discovered in the pleadings and discovery responses of the parties.  
20           C. Defendant Yang Ming (America) Corporation contemplates possibly filing a  
21                   motion for summary judgment, following percipient witness discovery.

22       5. Amendment of Pleadings:

- 23           A. Plaintiff nor defendant, at this time, anticipate filing an amendment to the  
24                   pleadings.  
25           B. Based upon the pleadings and discovery, plaintiff and defendant reserve the right  
26                   to amend the pleadings to address any issues inherent or discovered in the  
27                   pleadings and discovery responses of the parties.  
28           ///

1       6.     Evidence Preservation:

2           A.    M/V Wealth should be preserved in its condition so as allow inspection. Plaintiff  
3            requests that he be notified of any modification, change or alteration in the  
4            condition of the vessel and be permitted to inspect the vessel prior to and such  
5            modification, change or alteration.

6       7.     Disclosures:

7           A.    None by plaintiff.  
8           B.    Defendant, Yank Ming (America) Corporation, has provided its initial  
9            disclosures to plaintiff.

10      8.     Discovery:

11           A.    Plaintiff will file Interrogatories and a Request for Production to the defendant.  
12           B.    Plaintiff will depose the crew of M/V Wealth with knowledge as to the condition  
13            of the vessel.  
14           C.    Plaintiff will depose any witnesses disclosed by defendant.  
15           D.    Plaintiff will depose any expert witnesses retained by defendant.  
16           E.    Plaintiff will conduct any discovery necessitated by the pleadings and discovery  
17            conducted in this matter.  
18           A.    Defendant will serve Interrogatories and Requests for Production upon the  
19            plaintiff.  
20           B.    Defendant will depose the plaintiff and any witnesses disclosed by the plaintiff  
21           C.    Defendant will depose any other witnesses disclosed through discovery and  
22            investigation.  
23           D.    Defendant will depose any expert witnesses retained by plaintiff.  
24           E.    Defendant will depose the plaintiff's treating doctors.

25      9.     Class Actions:

26           A.    None

27      10.    Related Cases:

28           A.    None.

11. Relief:

- 2 A. Plaintiff is entitled to monetary damages as determined by the jury. Due to  
3 plaintiff's ongoing medical treatment, the full extent of his damages is unknown,  
4 but in excess of \$1.0 million.
- 5 B. Defendant denies that the plaintiff has been damaged as a result of any act or  
6 omission of the defendant. Defendant seeks dismissal of the suit and an award  
7 of costs.

8 12. Settlement and ADR:

- 9 A. Plaintiff and Defendant have agreed to submit to mediation. The parties have  
10 conferred and tentatively selected a mediator.

11 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes:

- 12 A. Plaintiff consents to the assignment of this matter to a Magistrate Judge.  
13 B. Defendant does not consent to the assignment of this matter to a Magistrate  
14 Judge.

15 14. Other References:

- 16 A. None by Plaintiff or Defendant.

17 15. Narrowing of Issues:

- 18 A. None by Plaintiff or Defendant..

19 16. Expedited Schedule:

- 20 A. Plaintiff nor Defendant demand expedited consideration.

21 17. Scheduling:

- 22 A. Both Plaintiff and Defendant submit that this matter be set for trial following  
23 adequate time for discovery and that the scheduling order of the court is  
24 appropriate.

25 18. Trial:

- 26 A. Both Plaintiff and Defendant have demanded trial by jury.

27 19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons:

- 28 A. Plaintiff is receiving worker's compensation and/or longshoreman's benefits and  
his claims may be subject to lien.

20. Such other matters as may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of this matter.

A. None by Plaintiff or Defendant at this time.

Dated: March 28, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

BOXER & GERSON, LLP

Dated: March 28, 2008

COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN  
HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

# DARRYL JACKSON

GARY B. ROTH

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**DARBY L. JACKSON**

DARRYL JACKSON

By: /s/ Mitchell S. Griffin  
MITCHELL S. GRIFFIN  
Attorneys for Defendant  
YANG MING (AMERICA)  
CORPORATION